

<https://blog.en.erste-am.com/stabilization-or-downturn/>

Stabilization or downturn?

Gerhard Winzer



© © iStock

The majority of economic indicators continues to suggest weakening global real economic growth. The global purchasing managers' index for the manufacturing industry in particular continued the falling trend in February, thus suggesting prolonged weakness in the manufacturing sector. While there are some weak signs of the downswing coming to an end in the coming months, uncertainty remains elevated. The central banks have reacted to this and have suspended the planned increase of key-lending rates or have indeed taken steps to loosen the monetary policy again. At the same time, the market prices already reflect the stabilisation of economic growth. How can this dichotomy between the market and the economic environment be resolved?

“Normal“ slowdown

The weakening in economic growth can partially be attributed to a “normalisation” in the sense that growth rates have declined from above-average to average. That being said, the general tightening of central bank liquidity and the increase in uncertainty have also contributed to the situation.

Lower central bank liquidity

Motivated by the strong economic growth in recent years, the central banks in the developed economies have launched a policy of gradual “normalisation”. This means the increase of the very low key-lending rates and the discontinuation of the bond purchase programme and divestment of the bonds thus acquired. This has led to a decline in the money supply (M0), which had been expanded drastically by the central banks.

Shrinking of the shadow banking sector in China

At the same time, some emerging economies experiencing disequilibria have come under pressure to raise their key-lending rates in the wake of the rate hikes in the USA. In China, the economic policies have focused, among other things, on shrinking the shadow banking sector. This path was chosen due to the high ratio of debt to nominal GDP and China's intention of preventing the ratio from rising any further, which in turn caused credit growth to weaken significantly.

Increase in uncertainty


The uncertainties concerning the future relationships between the big economic blocs have increased as well. The trade conflict between the USA and China is a case in point. The chaotic Brexit process and the doubts over the integrity of the Eurozone (Italy) have also contributed to the situation.

Sentiment in the business sector deteriorating

The decline in the business sentiment is a direct effect of this unfavourable combination of factors, and it weighs on growth and capex in the corporate sector. By contrast, employment growth has remained relatively strong (i.e. low unemployment rate). As long as the labour market remains solid (i.e. good employment and wage growth), private income and private consumption are being supported. The catch is that the labour market is a lagging indicator. While the surprisingly low increase in employment in the USA in February (+20,000) in comparison with the 5Y average of +215,000 is not statistically significant, it does nothing to mitigate the uncertainty prevalent in the market.

China Money Supply and Inflation

Twelve-month percentage changes (March 1999 - March 2019)



Quelle: Thomson Reuters Datastream

Chart: Money supply and producer prices and their growth rates in China are important global economic indicators

Recovery on the financial market

The financial market reacted with drastic losses of risky asset classes (equities) in Q4 2018 to the deteriorating environment. The benchmark for positive surprises was therefore low – and as a result, in they came:

- Some important central banks loosened their monetary policy. It should be noted that the rate hikes by the US Fed previously priced into the market have completely disappeared. However, continued wage growth would put the Fed between a rock and a hard place: should it focus on employment or inflation? The increase in average hourly wages in February to a level that we had last seen ten years before did not help.
- In the Eurozone, the ECB pursues a strategy that implies the relaunch of a monetary instrument (TLTRO – targeted longer-term refinancing operations for banks in large volumes) in order to boost the resilience of the banking sector and thus the entire Eurozone economy. Also, the ECB has pushed back the earliest date of its initial rate increase to the beginning of 2020. However, the room for manoeuvre is limited for the monetary policy. The similarities to a so-called liquidity trap (Japan scenario) are clear.
- In China, credit growth has recently increased. At the same time, important indicators such as money supply (M1) growth and producer price inflation are falling.
- The signals sent out in connection with the negotiations between the USA and China give reason for cautious optimism. That being said, in addition to the still elevated likelihood of the negotiations failing, there is also the risk of tariff hikes on goods exported from the EU to the USA.
- Brexit: in the short run, an extension is gradually turning into the most likely scenario. The UK will probably still be a member of the EU in April for a few more months. But an “accident” (i.e. a hard Brexit without any agreements) may still happen.
- The signals of stabilising growth are there, but only just. They include indicators such as the global purchasing managers’ index for the service sector, which increased in February.

Conclusion:

The majority of leading economic indicators are still falling, and the retreat of globalisation may have only got a short reprieve. At least the central banks have stopped their policies aimed at tightening liquidity, or have even reversed it. For the recovery of the risky asset classes that we have seen in the year to date to continue, growth would have to stabilise. Since the signs of that happening remain too weak, prices have been on the decline since the beginning of March again. As long as no geopolitical deterioration takes hold (negotiations between the USA and China, between the USA, the EU, and Japan, and between the UK and the EU), there is a chance that the stimulus measures (more liquidity) may facilitate the stabilisation of economic growth with a time lag of a few months.

Legal note:

Prognoses are no reliable indicator for future performance.

Legal disclaimer

This document is an advertisement. Unless indicated otherwise, source: Erste Asset Management GmbH. Our languages of communication are German and English.

The prospectus for UCITS (including any amendments) is published in Amtsblatt zur Wiener Zeitung in accordance with the provisions of the InvFG 2011 in the currently amended version.

Information for Investors pursuant to § 21 AIFMG is prepared for the alternative investment funds (AIF) administered by Erste Asset Management GmbH pursuant to the provisions of the AIFMG in connection with the InvFG 2011. The fund prospectus, Information for Investors pursuant to § 21 AIFMG, and the key investor document/KID can be viewed in their latest versions at the web site www.erste-am.com or obtained in their latest versions free of charge from the domicile of the management company and the domicile of the custodian bank. The exact date of the most recent publication of the fund prospectus, the languages in which the key investor document is available, and any additional locations where the documents can be obtained can be viewed on the web site www.erste-am.com.

This document serves as additional information for our investors and is based on the knowledge of the staff responsible for preparing it at the time of preparation. Our analyses and conclusions are general in nature and do not take into account the individual needs of our investors in terms of earnings, taxation, and risk appetite. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of the future performance of a fund.



Gerhard Winzer

Gerhard Winzer has worked at Erste Asset Management since March 2008. Up until March 2009, he was Senior Fund Manager in Fixed Income Asset Allocation; he has been Head Economist since April 2009.

He holds a degree from a polytechnical college and studied economics and business at Vienna University with a special focus on financial markets. He holds a CFA charter and participated from 2001 to 2003 in the doctoral programme for finance at the Center for Central European Financial Markets in Vienna.

From July 1997 to June 2007, he worked in research at CAIB, Bank Austria Creditanstalt, and UniCredit Markets & Investment Banking. His last position was as Executive Director for Fixed Income / FX Research and Strategy. He was responsible for research on asset allocation at Raiffeisen Zentralbank (RZB) in Vienna from July 2007 to February 2008.