

<https://blog.en.erste-am.com/2017/07/24/quo-vadis-federal-reserve-part-1/>

Quo Vadis, Federal Reserve? - Part 1

Gerold Permoser



© (c) iStock

The US central bank has embarked on a cycle of interest rate hikes. The question is: by how much will the interest rates increase still, and at what point will it reach a level detrimental to the economy, where equities should be regrouped into asset classes less sensitive to the economic cycle?

Recessions are poison for the markets. Just to illustrate this statement with numbers: the average return on US equities, as measured by the S&P 500 index, has been -8.6% p.a. during recessions since 1950. In periods of economic expansion, on the other hand, investors would make an average of 13.9% p.a. Driven by population growth and progress in productivity, expansion has been the natural state of the economy in the past decades. Yet again, we are using the USA as example, since this is where the most abundant set of data are available. The economy has expanded in 698 out of 809 months there. This means that it was in recession only 14% of the time. Statistically speaking, expansion is therefore the natural state of the economy, but it can fall into recession for three reasons:

- Economic imbalances that trigger a crisis like in 2008
- External shocks such as the two oil crises in the 1970s
- Interest hikes by the US central bank that continue until they pretty much “throttle” the economy

The question is whether we have reached that point or will at least reach it any time soon?

The Taylor rule

The so-called Taylor rule is an at least superficially simple tool for the analysis of this question. It was named after the well-known US economist John B. Taylor. Taylor is rumoured to be in with a chance of becoming the next chairman of the Fed (<https://www.bloomberg.com/graphics/2017-who-will-be-the-next-fed-chair/>). Prior to taking you through the analysis, we will


quickly discuss the way the Taylor rule works. The concept was first created in the 1990s and is nowadays used in various forms.

The attraction of the Taylor rule hinges on the fact that it is very intuitive, even to those among us who have problems with formulas:

The US central bank is supposed to set the interest rate in such a way that in the long term it is equivalent to the value that ensures compliance with the inflation target pursued by the US central bank and full employment. However, if the actual rate of inflation is above or below the level targeted by the Fed, the US central bank can react by raising or cutting the Fed funds rate (i.e. the key-lending rate). The same is true for deviations from the GDP that can be expected for the long term, the so-called output potential. If the economy is in recession, the central bank should cut interest rates below the long-term neutral rate. If it overheats, the key-lending rates have to be above that level.

The following chart shows how the Taylor rule would have worked over the past 50 years.

Taylor rule in practice since 1970



Source: Erste Asset Management

When the Taylor rule became popular in the 1990s, it was largely charts like the one above that contributed to its popularity. The Taylor rule was very good at illustrating the monetary policy in the years before in simple terms.

- In the 1970s, the key-lending rates in the USA were significantly too low. The expansive monetary policy resulted in high inflation and, above all, rising inflation expectations.
- At the beginning of the 1980s, the US central bank changed its course under the then chairman Paul Volcker, who followed a substantially more stringent strategy. Interest rates were raised beyond the level suggested by the Taylor rule, as a result of which inflation declined and inflation expectations were reined in as well.
- From about 1985 onwards, the Fed was able to engage in fine-tuning the monetary policy in line with the economic cycle. The threat of inflation had been dealt with. Accordingly, the monetary policy was restrictive prior to the recession of 1990, then became more expansive, until 1994 when the policy was tightened again at the end of the 1990s.

- In response to the burst dotcom bubble and 9/11, and in tandem with the recession of 2001, a phase of an extremely loose monetary policy was set off. Many economists think that the low interest rates during that phase were one of the reasons of the excesses on the property market, which resulted in the financial crisis in 2008.
- From the perspective of the Taylor rule, the period after 2008 was extremely expansive. Assuming that the monetary policy was overly loose even before 2008, which had led to overheating property prices, this would not bode well for the future.

Does this mean that a crisis is imminent, or is this development driven by something else? Stay tuned for the answer in part II of the Quo Vadis trilogy!

Legal disclaimer

This document is an advertisement. All data is sourced from ERSTE-SPARINVEST Kapitalanlagegesellschaft m.b.H., Erste Asset Management GmbH and ERSTE Immobilien Kapitalanlagegesellschaft m.b.H. unless indicated otherwise. Our languages of communication are German and English. The prospectus for UCITS (including any amendments) is published in Amtsblatt zur Wiener Zeitung in accordance with the provisions of the InvFG 2011 in the currently amended version. Information for Investors pursuant to § 21 AIFMG is prepared for the alternative investment funds (AIF) administered by ERSTE-SPARINVEST Kapitalanlagegesellschaft m.b.H., Erste Asset Management GmbH and for ERSTE Immobilien Kapitalanlagegesellschaft m.b.H. pursuant to the provisions of the AIFMG in connection with the InvFG 2011 and regarding ERSTE Immobilien Kapitalanlagegesellschaft m.b.H. published in Amtsblatt zur Wiener Zeitung or at the web site www.ersteimmobilien.at. The fund prospectus, Information for Investors pursuant to § 21 AIFMG and the key investor document/KID can be viewed in their latest versions at the web site www.erste-am.com or www.ersteimmobilien.at or obtained in their latest versions free of charge from the domicile of the management company and the domicile of the custodian bank. The exact date of the most recent publication of the fund prospectus, the languages in which the key investor document/KID is available, and any additional locations where the documents can be obtained can be viewed on the web site www.erste-am.com or www.ersteimmobilien.at. This document serves as additional information for our investors and is based on the knowledge of the staff responsible for preparing it at the time of preparation. Our analyses and conclusions are general in nature and do not take into account the individual needs of our investors in terms of earnings, taxation and risk appetite. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of the future performance of a fund.



Gerold Permoser

Gerold Permoser has been Chief Investment Officer at Erste Asset Management since early April 2013. He is responsible for all asset management activities and investment strategies for all investment funds held by the Erste Asset Management Group in Austria, Germany, Croatia, Romania, Slovakia, the Czech Republic, and Hungary. Before joining the company, he served this function at Macquarie Investment Management Austria KAG. Permoser has gathered experience in investments since 1997.

He began his career as a fixed-income analyst at the Creditanstalt in Vienna. He moved to asset management at Innovest KAG in 2000. He made significant contributions in the investment field there and advanced to CIO (director of asset allocation and research). Gerold Permoser studied at the University of Innsbruck and is a CFA charter holder. He offers training at the University of Vienna and at various post-graduate institutions for analysts and portfolio managers (i.e., at VÖIG, the Association of Austrian Investment Fund Companies).